

CONFIDENTIAL

Approved For Release 2001/08/10 : CIA-RDP78-04718A001800170093-4

~~SECRET~~

225

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director (Support)

25X1A

25X1A9a

ATTENTION:

[REDACTED]

SUBJECT: Proposed [REDACTED] Employment of Personnel
on Provisional Clearance

REFERENCE: Memorandum from the Special Assistant to the
Deputy Director (Support) to the Director of
Personnel, Subject: Employment of Personnel
on Provisional Clearance, dated 16 June 1955

1. As suggested in the referenced memorandum, the draft of
subject notice has been revised to include the suggestions of the
Deputy Director (Support). These changes were coordinated with
the Director of Security, and he has concurred in them.

2. The staff study, proposed notice, and related papers are
returned for further processing.

[REDACTED]

25X1A9a

HARRISON G. REYNOLDS
Director of Personnel

Attachments

Document No.	52
No Change In Class.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> Declassified	
Class. Changed to:	TS S C
Next Review Date	1988
Auth.:	HR 70-3
Date:	26 Dec 78
By:	016

CONFIDENTIAL

~~SECRET~~

Approved For Release 2001/08/10 : CIA-RDP78-04718A001800170093-4

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Security
1006

16 June 1955

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Personnel

SUBJECT : Employment of Personnel on Provisional Clearances

REFERENCE : Memo dated 10 May 1955 to DD/S from D/Personnel,
same subject, with attachments A-D

1. Pursuant to our discussion of 13 June, I am returning your staff study and Proposed Notice regarding the employment of personnel on provisional clearances.

2. Colonel White has reviewed this Notice and would like to have you consider the possibility of two changes. The first change involves the second paragraph, section a. Colonel White would like to see the responsibility for the denial of a full clearance placed on a general or Agency rather than a straight security basis. I think this might be accomplished by substituting the word "Agency" for "Security" in the first line of the second paragraph of the Proposed Notice. In the same line, "full duty status" might be substituted for "full clearance," thereby carrying out the idea which Colonel White has suggested.

3. The second matter which Colonel White is interested in having reconsidered involves terminology. He feels that the terms "professional, technical, and clerical" are too commonly misunderstood and, if possible, this Notice might better use grade levels as a basis for describing differences in the application of the provisional clearances. This suggestion has considerable merit and would help avoid confusion in the actual application of the Notice. Provisional clearances for applicants being processed at grades GS-7 and above should require Deputy Director approval, while provisional clearances for applicants at grades GS-6 and below would be left to the discretion of the office head as indicated in the Notice. The substance of the Notice appears quite valid and if applied to the suggested grade groups rather than broad general categories of personnel referred to as professional, technical, or clerical, would make the Notice acceptable on this end.

4. I realize these suggestions call for a rewrite job by a member of your staff and hope that this can be accomplished by 29 June. I will be very happy to assist in this task in any way possible, and suggest that I be given the opportunity to see the new proposal after Security concurrence has been obtained, but prior to your official sign-off. I suggest this simply in hopes of being able to assist you in getting the Notice approved without further revision.

SIGNED

Special Assistant to the
Deputy Director (Support)

SA-DD/S:CFC:mrp (16 June 55)

Distribution:

Orig & 1 cc to addressee

1 - DD/S Chrono

1 - DD/S Subject

1 - C.F.C.

25X1A9a

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~